

Strain Partitioning across Rifted Continental Margins as a Function of Space and Time

N.J.Kusznir

Department of Earth Sciences, Liverpool University, Liverpool, L69 3BX, UK.
sr11@liverpool.ac.uk

Presentation Plan

Part 1

- Observations of stretching as a function of depth at rifted continental margins
- Mechanics of depth dependent stretching
- Mantle exhumation and the development of sea-floor spreading

Part 2

- Observations of strain rate ($d\epsilon/dt$) and stretching factor (β) at rifted continental margins
- The $d\epsilon/dt$ versus β relationship at rifted margins
- Comparison of rifted margin results with $d\epsilon/dt$ versus β relationship for intraplate rift basins
- Are Buoyancy Forces Important During the Formation of Rifted Margins?

1. Depth-dependent Lithosphere Stretching and Mantle Exhumation at Rifted Continental Margins

Depth-dependent stretching of the continental lithosphere appears to be a consistent observation at the outer ~ 100 km of rifted margins. Extension estimates have been independently determined from faulting, crustal thickness and post-rift thermal subsidence for the Goban-Spur, South China Sea, Vøring, Møre, Galicia and NW Australian rifted margins. Upper-crustal extension (derived from faulting) is significantly lower than estimates of crustal extension (from crustal thinning) or whole-lithosphere thinning (from post-rift thermal subsidence). We do not attribute the observation of depth-dependent stretching to be solely a result of second generation faulting, aseismic extension, sub-seismic resolution faulting, or simple shear. Stratigraphic evidence suggests that depth-dependent stretching of rifted margins and mantle exhumation does not occur during pre-break-up rifting, but rather occurs during early sea-floor spreading.

Both analytical and finite-element models of the early sea-floor spreading process generate depth-dependent stretching, and suggest that depth dependent stretching at rifted margins is an inevitable consequence of early sea-floor spreading. Depth dependent stretching also predicts that lower continental crust and mantle are pulled out from beneath the continental crust during early sea-floor spreading generating a transitional 'continent-ocean boundary' that exposes continental mantle at the surface. Exhumation of continental mantle at rifted margins is supported by independent evidence from wide-angle seismology (Pickup et al), direct sampling and geochemical analysis, magnetic anomaly analysis, and geological mapping of orogenically exhumed rifted margins. In terms of a detachment model all margins (i.e. both conjugate margins) appear to be 'upper plate'.

2. Are Buoyancy Forces Important During the Formation of Rifted Margins?

Crustal stretching factor profiles from rifted continental margins supplemented by isochron data for early sea floor spreading have been used to extract a relationship between strain rate ($d\epsilon/dt$) and stretching factor (β). Despite the different methods, assumptions and observations, our $d\epsilon/dt$ versus β relationship for rifted margins is consistent with that observed by Newman and White (1997, 1999) for intra-continental rift basins. The $d\epsilon/dt$ versus β relationship we derive is also consistent with the dynamic models of Newman and White which include thermo-rheological strain-hardening and -softening, but omit crustal buoyancy forces. Whilst crustal buoyancy forces are not included in the above dynamic models, the $\dot{\epsilon}$ - β data do not necessarily preclude their importance.

Simple numerical models of buoyancy force evolution show that for the first 30 Ma after rifting the thermally-derived buoyancy forces within the upper mantle that assist extension are larger than the crustal buoyancy forces that oppose extension. This 'rift push' acts as a positive feed-back mechanism and is of the order of $3 \times 10^{12} \text{ Nm}^{-1}$. The dominance of the thermal buoyancy force at early times may explain why our $\dot{\epsilon}$ - β observations are consistent with thermo-rheological models which exclude crustal buoyancy forces.

Analysis of observed crustal thinning factor ($1-1/\beta$) profiles for rifted margins suggest that lateral crustal pressure gradients have a dominant peak at $0.05 \pm 0.3 \text{ kPa m}^{-1}$ and a distinct secondary peak at $1 \pm 0.1 \text{ kPa m}^{-1}$. The pressure gradient peak at $0.05 \pm 0.3 \text{ kPa m}^{-1}$ corresponds to thinned continental crust which is terminated on the unstretched continental side by the secondary pressure gradient peak which may define the edge of a zone of thermal strain-softening. Independent observations show that narrow margins are associated with rapid strain rates and are consistent with thermal strain-softening predicted by conductive cooling models. However the dominant near-zero pressure gradient peak is consistent with the operation of buoyancy force processes during rifting, generating thickness "leveling" of thinned crust.

Acknowledgments

Thanks to the other members of the "team" - Mark Davis, Alan Roberts and Ken Baxter - who have contributed to all aspects of the work reported above.

References

1. Bassi, G., Keen, C.E., and Potter, P., 1993, Contrasting styles of rifting - models and examples from the eastern Canadian margin: *Tectonics*, v. 12, p. 639-655.
2. Baxter, K., Cooper, G.T., O'Brien, G.W., Hill, K.C., and Sturrock, S., 1997, Flexural isostatic modelling as a constraint on basin evolution, the development of sediment systems and palaeo heat-flow: application to the Vulcan sub-basin, Timor Sea: *APPEA Journal*, v. 1997, p. 136-153.
3. Brun, J.P., and Beslier, M.O., 1996, Mantle exhumation at passive margins: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 142, p. 161-173.
4. Buck, W.R., 1991, Modes of continental lithospheric extension: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 96, p. 20161-20178.
5. Davis, M.J. and Kusznir, N.J. Depth-dependent lithosphere stretching and mantle exhumation at rifted continental margins. In Preparation.

6. Davis, M.J. and Kuszniir, N.J. Are buoyancy forces important during the formation of rifted margins? Under Review.
7. Driscoll, N., and Karner, G., 1998, Lower crustal extension across the Northern Carnarvon basin, Australia: Evidence for an eastward dipping detachment: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 103, p. 4975-4991.
8. Froitzheim, N., and Manatschal, G., 1996, Kinematics of jurassic rifting, mantle exhumation, and passive-margin formation in the austroalpine and penninic nappes (eastern switzerland): *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 108, p. 1120-1133.
9. Hopper, J.R., and Buck, W.R., 1996, The effect of lower crustal flow on continental extension and passive margin formation: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 101, p. 20175-20194.
10. Horsefield, S.J., Whitmarsh, R.B., White, R.S., and Sibuet, J.C., 1993, Crustal structure of the Goban Spur rifted continental margin, northeast Atlantic: *Geophysical Journal International*, v. 119, p. 1-19.
11. Kuszniir, N.J., and Park, R.G., 1987, The extensional strength of the continental lithosphere: its dependence on continental gradient, and crustal composition and thickness, in Coward, M.P., Dewey, J.F., and Hancock, P.L., eds., *Continental Extension Tectonics*: 28, Geological Society Special Publication, p. 35-52.
12. Le Pichon, X., and Alvarez, F., 1984, From stretching to subduction in back-arc regions - dynamic considerations: *Tectonophysics*, v. 102, p. 343-357.
13. Lister, G.S., Etheridge, M.A., and Symonds, P.A., 1991, Detachment models for the formation of passive continental margins: *Tectonics*, v. 10(5), p. 1038-1064.
14. Manatschal, G., and Nievergelt, P., 1997, A continent-ocean transition recorded in the Err and Platta nappes (Eastern Switzerland): *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae*, v. 90, p. 3-27.
15. McKenzie, D.P., 1978, Some remarks on the development of sedimentary basins: *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, v. 40, p. 25-32.
16. Muller, R., WR, R., JY, R., LM, G., and JG, S., 1997b, Digital isochrons of the world's ocean floor: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 102, p. 3211-3214.
17. Newman, R., and White, N.J., 1997, Rheology of the continental lithosphere inferred from sedimentary basins: *Nature*, v. 385, p. 621-624.
18. Pickup, S.L.B., Whitmarsh, R.B., Fowler, C.M.R., and Reston, T.J., 1996, Insight into the nature of the ocean-continent transition off West Iberia from a deep multichannel seismic reflection profile: *Geology*, v. 24(12), p. 1079-1082.
19. Roberts, A., Lundin, E.R., and Kuszniir, N.J., 1997, Subsidence of the Voring Basin and the influence of the Atlantic continental margin: *Journal of the Geological Society, London*, v. 154, p. 551-557.
20. Sonder, L., and England, P., 1989, Effects of temperature dependent rheology on large-scale continental extension: *Journal of Geophysical Research*, v. 94, p. 7603-7619.
21. Wernicke, B., 1985, Uniform-sense simple shear of the continental lithosphere: *Canadian Journal of Earth Sciences*, v. 22, p. 108-125.
22. White, N.J., 1993, Recovery of strain rate variation from inversion of subsidence data: *Nature*, v. 366, p. 449-452.
23. Whitmarsh, R., Beslier, M.-O., Wallace, P., Abe, N., Basile, C., Beard, J., Froitzheim, N., Gardien, V., Hebert, R., Hopkinson, L., Kudless, K., Louvel, V., Manatschal, G., A, Rubenach, N., Skelton, A., Smith, S., Takayama, H., Tompkins, M., Turin, B., Urquhart, E., Wallrabe-Adams, H., Wilkens, R., Wilson, C., Wise, S., and Zhao, X., 1998, Drilling

reveals transition from continental breakup to early magmatic crust: Eos, v. 79, p. 173-181.