Demise of a submarine canyon? Evidence for highstand infilling on the Waipaoa River continental margin, New Zealand
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Submarine canyons are major geomorphologic features on the Earth’s surface. Their formation has received considerable debate, but their demise has received less attention. Research of modern canyons with cores and moorings has documented active sediment transport and deposition, but extrapolation of these local observations over larger areas is precluded by complex canyon geomorphology. High-resolution multibeam and chirp data presented here provide convincing evidence of an infilling canyon head on the Waipaoa River margin of New Zealand. Tens of meters of Holocene sediment have accumulated on the outer shelf and in Lachlan canyon as a result of off-shelf sediment transport. Regardless of the ultimate fate of this system over geological time scales, this research demonstrates highstand sedimentation as a possible mechanism for canyon burial and cause of canyon demise, which has important implications for the evolution of canyons globally. Citation: Walsh, J. P., C. R. Alexander, T. Gerber, A. R. Orpin, and B. W. Sumners (2007), Demise of a submarine canyon? Evidence for highstand infilling on the Waipaoa River continental margin, New Zealand, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L20606, doi:10.1029/2007GL031142.

1. Introduction

Submarine canyons are often thought of as erosional features, primarily carved out by turbidity currents and mass failures during sea-level lowstands. However, numerous studies have documented active sediment transport and deposition at discrete sites in canyons today, suggesting their continuing evolution [e.g., Shepard et al., 1974; Mulder et al., 2001; Mullenbach and Nittrouer, 2006], but the extent of fill in modern canyons has proven difficult to document due to technological limitations and complex geomorphology. Despite their steep relief that seemingly precludes infilling at any stage of sea level, seismic-reflection surveys on several margins have revealed buried paleo-canyons [Pratson et al., 1994; Mountain et al., 1996; Bertoni and Cartwright, 2005]. While much research has been focused on canyon creation, less attention has addressed when and how they fill and become defunct. Previous work has suggested the importance of highstand sedimentation. The current study documents appreciable, recent canyon head infilling, masking former relief. These observations clearly indicate active off-shelf sediment transport in a modern dispersal system and emphasize the importance of highstand sedimentation as a mechanism for submarine canyon burial and demise.

2. Background on Canyons and Off-Shelf Transport

There are two basic types of canyons: slope-confined and shelf-indenting canyons, but the former can mature into the latter. Shelf-indenting canyons evolve from upslope- and downslope-directed erosive processes [Farre et al., 1983; Pratson et al., 1994]. Failures on the continental slope produce slope-confined canyons that can erode headward to become shelf-indenting canyons. Alternatively, fluvial systems can migrate across the shelf during low stands in sea level, and, igniting turbidity currents that erode and incise the shelf break, can create shelf-indenting canyons as originally hypothesized by Daly [1936] and tested in the lab by Kuenen [1937]. The form and location of canyons may be impacted by other factors such as pore-fluid flow, faulting, and capture of along-shelf transport [e.g., Shepard et al., 1974; Orange, 1994; Song et al., 2000].

Sequence stratigraphic theory emphasizes sea level as a primary control on off-shelf transport and canyon incision [Postmentier and Vail, 1988]. Increased fluvial sediment fluxes to the slope are anticipated during sea-level regression and lowstand conditions, while decreased fluxes are typically associated with rising sea level and highstand conditions. Nevertheless, some margins with high sediment supply are presently exporting considerable amounts of sediment off the shelf [Goodbred and Kuehl, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled). Modern rates of accumulation on outer shelves and continental slopes may be relatively high (>2 mm y⁻¹) [e.g., Alexander and Simoneau, 1999; Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003], possibly indicating a completion of this classic sequence stratigraphic cycle (i.e., shelf accommodation space has been filled).
reflect a rising sea-level scenario in which sediment supply diminishes as progressively more distant rivers supplied sediment to the flooding shelf. Such a situation is presented herein. It should be noted that like incised-valleys, filling of canyons also may occur during the lowstand and subsequent transgression as subaerially exposed sediments are reworked by near-shore hydraulic processes [Postmentier and Vail, 1988; Goodwin and Prior, 1989; Dunbar et al., 2000; Sommerfield and Lee, 2004]. Alternatively, high-stand systems may undergo reduced sedimentation or erosion due to active intra-canyon tidal and storm-forced currents [e.g., Shepard et al., 1974].

3. Investigation of the Waipaoa River Margin

[6] The Waipaoa River Margin (WRM) lies in a tectonically active oblique subduction zone, which has produced extensive deformation in the region [Barnes et al., 2002]. Inboard (west) of the WRM lies the rhyolitic Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ). Multiple tephras erupted from the TVZ during the Quaternary, and these serve as useful chronostratigraphic markers [e.g., Froggatt and Lowe, 1990; Carter et al., 1995, 2002]. Tectonics are a major control on post-glacial sediment accumulation on the WRM [Barnes, 1995; Foster and Carter, 1997; Orpin et al., 2006]. Sediment accumulation on the WRM is occurring in two mid-shelf basins and one outer-shelf lobe, which are separated by the Lachlan and Ariel anticlines [Foster and Carter, 1997; Orpin et al., 2006; Kuehl et al., 2006]. The seaward edge of the outermost shelf is incised by several small gullies and three large canyons (Figure 1) that comprise the Poverty Canyon system. The northernmost of these large canyons, named herein Lachlan canyon, has a complex, meandering morphology; it is incised roughly 5 km into the shelf edge, has a width of ~4 km and relief of ~400 m (Figure 1). The oceanography and sediment transport on the WRM outer shelf and upper slope are poorly constrained, variable and complicated by ephemeral eddies and complex bathymetry [Foster and Carter, 1997; Chiswell and Roemmich, 1998; Chiswell, 2000, 2005]. Storm-related waves and near-bed
currents are the likely drivers for sediment transport but have not been measured. Despite these uncertainties the observed pattern of sedimentation reflects the dominant transport pathway to the outer shelf and beyond. Rapid rates of modern sediment accumulation (~1 cm/y) are found on the WRM outer-shelf lobe [Orpin et al., 2006], and seismic data indicate sedimentation has occurred in this area through the Holocene [Foster and Carter, 1997; Orpin et al., 2006]. However, sediment accumulation and thicknesses (over centennial and longer timescales) are certainly variable. Landward of the outer-shelf lobe Neogene rocks of Lachlan anticline are exposed, and sediments thin dramatically northward. Consequently, the infilling discussed below for Lachlan canyon is not expected (and apparent) in other canyons of the area.

As part of the Margins Source-to-Sink Initiative supported by the National Science Foundation, research cruises were undertaken in Jan.–Feb. 2005 and Feb. 2006 aboard the R/V Kilo Moana and the R/V Marion Dufresne, respectively [Kuehl et al., 2006; Proust et al., 2006]. During the 2005 fieldwork, chirp seismic (Edgetech 512i), multibeam (EM1002 and EM120), and box and 5-m long gravity corers were used across the WRM. In Feb. 2006, several Calypso (giant piston) cores were obtained around New Zealand, including Marion Dufresne site MD152-3006 on the outer shelf of the WRM [Proust et al., 2006] (Figures 1 and 2).

Chirp seismic data were imported into analysis software (Kingdom Suite), and prominent reflectors were digitized across the study region. Sediment thicknesses between reflectors are estimated using a sound velocity of 1500 m s⁻¹. Multibeam data were processed by the Hawaii Mapping Research Group at the University of Hawaii and were gridded at 5.1-m pixel resolution over the upper slope. Box and gravity cores were subsampled and analyzed for sedimentological, radiochemical (¹⁷⁷⁸Be, ²³⁴⁸Th, ¹³⁷⁸Cs, ²¹⁰⁸Pb) and geochemical attributes. Selected samples were analyzed for ¹⁴⁸C ages and tephra identification.

4. Observations and Insights

New chirp seismic lines and cores support the interpretation of Orpin et al. [2006] that a thick accumulation (~40 m) of post-glacial material fills the outer-shelf basin. However, new data also indicate substantial post-glacial sediment at the head of Lachlan canyon and on the upper slope (Figure 2). The T1 (green) and T2 (pink) reflectors are both discrete tephras based on lithological evidence from long cores [Proust et al., 2006]. Although these reflectors and the stratigraphic packages they define appear truncated at the uppermost canyon head, the reflectors clearly extend over the shelf break along the northern wall of the canyon (Figure 3). The fence diagram provides the necessary three-dimensional perspective to visualize the variable thickness of the infilling strata. A calibrated ¹⁴⁸C date of 5,435 y BP below T1 indicates that both tephras are mid-to-late Holocene in age, but exact ages from the geochemistry of these tephras have not yet been determined. These reflectors and the underlying R1 (red) reflector have been mapped regionally [Lewis, 1973; Foster and Carter, 1997; Barnes et al., 2002]; the latter is likely a transgressive erosion surface. Collectively they stratigraphically define three post-Last Glacial Maximum stratigraphic units whose variability in thickness is likely related to transport along/around the uppermost canyon wall (Figures 2 and 3) where energy from physical processes (e.g., internal waves) presumably limits accumulation. Despite some uncertainty regarding the absolute age of the reflectors in the deposit, significant
Holocene sediment infilling is clearly evident (Figure 3), and divergent reflectors into the canyon provide compelling evidence for off-shelf transport (Figures 2 and 3). Note, off-shelf transport at the canyon head may be the result of across- and along-shelf flows, and the latter are likely to be critical here as in other canyon systems. Internal reflectors can provide important insight on the mechanisms and timing of infilling. Interestingly, here the absence of internal reflectors (other than the two tephras) between R1 and the surface is indicative of a continuous supply of similar sediment, presumably from similar processes.

Cores collected within the canyon support the interpretation of modern off-shelf transport and canyon infilling. Rates of sediment accumulation determined by excess $^{210}$Pb are high (>2 cm y$^{-1}$) in the canyon head, and are faster than those recorded on the outer shelf [Alexander et al., 2006; Orpin et al., 2006]. The absence of pronounced lithologic stratification in core X-radiographs indicates that the sediments accumulating are reasonably well-mixed by bioturbation, suggestive of relatively steady hemipelagic sediment supply [Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003; Alexander et al., 2006]. Additional evidence for canyon filling is provided by the compelling multibeam bathymetry data which shows a loss (i.e., smothering) of relief at the canyon head (Figure 1 inset). The internal geometry of reflectors and rapid modern sedimentation rates suggest infilling has been on-going, but it likely accelerated as outer-shelf accommodation space has filled. Some filling during the transgression may have occurred but rapid sedimentation rates today highlight the importance of highstand sedimentation.

On the New Jersey margin, Miocene canyon strata pinch out down canyon, indicative of sediments progressively filling seaward from the shelf, also known as “top-down” filling. Drilling of these strata has shown that the New Jersey canyon-head fill is composed largely of hemipelagic muds [Mountain et al., 1996]. The observation on the WRM of off-shelf-dipping strata without notable slumping suggests a similar pattern and process. This top-down model of infilling differs considerably from the “bottom-up” mechanism proposed for the Rockall Trough where ponding and backfilling of sediment behind sidewall failures has been documented [Cronin et al., 2005]. This range of behaviors is likely a product of differences in sediment supply. We speculate that in Lachlan canyon modest but sustained sedimentation has occurred, driven by circulation along and across the canyon head, possibly enhanced by the emergent bathymetry of the anticline.

Research has shown that shelf width is a first-order control on the spectrum of systems experiencing modern off-shelf sediment transport [Walsh and Nittrouer, 2003]. The WRM lies in the middle of this range, with significant mud deposits on the shelf but also leaking a considerable amount of sediment to deeper water [Orpin, 2004; Orpin et al., 2006; Alexander et al., 2006]. For this reason we suggest that the infilling behavior observed here is not specific to the WRM, and upon detailed geophysical and geochronological investigation, would be expected at other canyon systems with narrow shelves adjacent to muddy sediment sources.

Although the permanency of sediments actively accumulating in Lachlan canyon head can be questioned, the geophysical evidence for considerable highstand filling behavior is convincing and consistent with similar interpretations of highstand filling from the rock and deeper stratigraphic record [May et al., 1983; Mountain et al., 1996; Bertoni and Cartwright, 2005]. Indeed, the WRM is located within a tectonically active region, where several major co-seismic uplift events (M$_{w}$ of 7.3-8.0) have occurred over the mid-late Holocene [e.g., Berryman, 1993]. However, no evidence for significant slumping or sliding is apparent in the multibeam or chirp data of this area. It is impossible to predict if complete burial of Lachlan canyon is imminent as this is dependent upon continued sediment accumulation with minimal flushing for a long time period over which tectonic processes are likely important. Regardless of the ultimate fate of Lachlan canyon, this research provides convincing evidence for highstand canyon-filling behavior, driven by shelf sediment escape, and has important implications for the past and future evolution of canyons globally.
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